		cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/73	4,600	GATLIN ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		iner	Art Unit	
	Georg	e Suchfield	3676	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>				
(1) George Suchfield.	(3	(3)		
(2) Robert W. Strozier.	(4)	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 June 2006 Time: Eastern Daylight-Saving, p.m.				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: 20-22, 27-29, 34-36 and 40-42				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applica	ant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	